
November 22, 2000

ADVICE 1499-E
(U 338-E)

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY DIVISION

SUBJECT: Revision to Schedule PX to Increase Southern California
Edison's Block-Forward Market Limits

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) hereby transmits for filing the following changes to its tariff schedules. The revised tariff sheets are listed on Attachment A and are attached hereto.

PURPOSE

This advice filing revises Schedule PX to reflect an increase to the purchase limits for bilateral and Block-Forward Market (BFM) products. The increased purchase limits will enable SCE to take advantage of expanded hedging opportunities in order to offset volatile electric energy prices on behalf of its bundled service customers.

BACKGROUND

On May 26, 1999, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) approved the request by CalPX Trading Services (CTS), a division of the California Power Exchange (PX), to offer electric power on a block-forward basis, as an exchange which will match "bids" to buy power with "offers" to sell power, with delivery arranged through the PX's day-ahead market. At that time, the BFM only traded 12 months out, in 6-by-16 transactions (6-by-16 refers to energy that is delivered throughout the month from Monday through Saturday, except certain holidays, for 16 hours, between 6 a.m. and 10 p.m.).

On July 8, 1999, the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) issued Resolution E-3618, which approved revisions to SCE's Schedule PX to include the cost of power incurred to participate in the new BFM in the calculation of the PX

Energy Cost, as set forth in Advice 1377-E. Because of the experimental nature of the BFM, and concerns that utilities might make purchases from the BFM for speculation, instead of hedging, the Commission only permitted SCE to purchase one-third of its minimum load (about 2000 MW of electricity) from the BFM.^{1/}

On July 16, 1999, SCE filed Advice 1393-E, requesting authority to establish the Block-Forward Market Memorandum Account (BFMMA) to track costs that will be incurred by SCE to participate in the BFM that will not be billed to SCE by the PX or Independent System Operator (ISO).^{2/} The BFMMA was approved by the Commission on October 20, 1999, in Resolution E-3637.

On December 30, 1999, the PX filed an application with the FERC for authority to offer delivery during super-peak and shoulder-peak periods. FERC conditionally approved the PX's proposal on February 24, 2000. SCE filed Advice 1429-E on January 6, 2000, requesting authority to include the cost of these additional BFM products delivered during super-peak and shoulder-peak periods in the calculation of PX energy costs in Schedule PX of SCE's tariffs. SCE requested that its monthly limits be based on SCE's 'net-short' position for the relevant quarter. SCE stated that it would seek further increases to the limits for hedging products: "To the extent that ... SCE's net-short position is projected to significantly increase due to further generation divestiture and/or load growth...."

In Resolution E-3658, issued March 16, 2000, the Commission authorized SCE and Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) to participate in and to recover costs of participation in the enhanced BFM until the end of each utilities' respective rate freeze period. The Commission authorized SCE to procure monthly BFM products up to an amount equal to the maximum of its average historical monthly "net-short" position for each quarter.^{3/} SCE derived its current forward procurement limits for monthly products as follows:

- (a) For each hour from April 1, 1998 through December 31, 1999, SCE determined its historical "net-short" position.

^{1/} On July 9, 1999, SCE filed Advice 1377-E-A to incorporate tariff modifications to SCE's Schedule PX in compliance with Resolution E-3618. On July 14, 1999, Energy Division notified SCE that Advice 1377-E and 1377-E-A were approved.

^{2/} Advice 1393-E-A was filed on October 18, 1999 to supplement Advice 1393-E and to incorporate modifications outlined in Resolution E-3637. SCE proposed to seek recovery of the amounts recorded in this memorandum account in a future Revenue Adjustment Proceeding as contemplated in Resolution E-3618 and Resolution E-3637.

^{3/} SCE's "net-short" position in any hour is the amount by which the demand of SCE's bundled retail customers exceeded the supply SCE provided in that hour, excluding SCE's forward purchases. The average monthly net-short position is determined by calculating the simple average of the hourly net-short positions for the relevant delivery period in the subject month.

- (b) Since the BFM products are blocks of energy delivered in either the on-peak, super-peak, or shoulder-peak hours, SCE calculated its historical average “net-short” position in the delivery hours for each of these products on a monthly basis. In most months since April 1, 1998, SCE’s average “net-short” position has been highest for the range of hours covered by the super-peak product.
- (c) To provide SCE with the flexibility to more fully mitigate the procurement risks faced by its customers, including in the super-peak hours, SCE’s limit for its open forward procurement position for any hour is the highest of the values calculated in (b) above for each quarter, rounded to the nearest 100 MW, as reflected in Table 1 below.^{4/}

The existing limits for monthly products are as follows:

Table 1.

Current Limits for Monthly Forward Procurement Products

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	Oct – Dec (4 th Qtr)
Monthly Limit	2,200 MW	2,200 MW	5,200 MW	3,000 MW

The Commission also approved SCE’s request to extend the term of authorization for participation in the BFM from October 31, 2001 to March 31, 2002. In compliance with Resolution E-3658, SCE filed Advice 1429-E-A on March 23, 2000, which was approved by the Commission on April 4, 2000.

On March 21, 2000, SCE filed Advice 1443-E, requesting authorization for cost recovery associated with participation in the PX forward market for ancillary services. Ancillary services include: 1) spinning reserve, 2) non-spinning reserve, 3) replacement reserve, 4) regulation up reserve, and 5) regulation down reserve. The Commission approved Advice 1443-E, and adopted a total aggregated quantity limit for all ancillary services for each quarter as follows: 1550 MWs, 1600 MWs, 1625 MWs, and 1575 MWs for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarters, respectively.

On May 17, 2000, SCE filed Advice 1453-E, requesting authorization for participation in and cost recovery associated with the PX Daily and Balance-of-the-Month BFM products. SCE also requested an increase to the overall limits for all BFM products. In Resolution E-3683 issued July 6, 2000, the Commission

^{4/} SCE’s open position in any hour is the total amount of forward contracts SCE holds for that hour, and reflects the netting of SCE’s purchases and sales (if any) of forward contracts which require delivery in that hour.

expanded SCE's forward procurement authorization to include Balance-of-the-Month and Daily BFM products. Although the Commission maintained SCE's current limit for Monthly BFM products as specified in Table 1 above, the Commission did authorize the following increased limits for SCE's combined procurement of Monthly, Balance-of-the-Month, and Daily BFM products:

Table 2.
Current Overall Limits for All Forward Procurement Products
(Including Balance-of-the-Month and Daily Products)

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	Oct – Dec (4 th Qtr)
Monthly Limit	3,200 MW	3,200 MW	6,200 MW	4,000 MW

In June 2000, the Commission issued D.00-06-034 on the Commission's Post-Transition Ratemaking Proceeding. The Commission was clear that BFM purchases made until such date as the Commission issues a further order are to be per se reasonable regardless of when the delivery takes place.^{5/}

On July 21, 2000, SCE filed its "Emergency Motion Of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) For An Order Approving Bilateral Power Contract Transactions" (Emergency Motion). In its motion, SCE requested authorization to execute bilateral contracts directly with suppliers (outside the BFM) for a period up to five years.

On August 3, 2000, the Commission issued D.00-08-023, which approved SCE's Emergency Motion to execute bilateral contracts. The Commission authorized SCE to purchase energy, ancillary services and capacity products in the bilateral

^{5/} "[W]e find that the UDC's may procure electricity through the CalPX day-ahead, day of and block forward markets and ... deem the prices paid for these products as reasonable." D.00-06-034, p.41.

"Once we have determined the role of the UDC, we can examine the state of the development of competitive exchanges and may choose to reconsider whether there is a need for procurement PBRs and/or bands of deemed reasonableness for procurement mixes. We need to ensure that there is no regulatory gap at the end of the transition period. Elsewhere in this decision we speak to the reasonableness of purchases from the PX, qualified exchange and ISO markets. However, at the conclusion of the transition period, we will also allow purchases from beyond these markets. Yet there may not be a new oversight mechanism (e.g., PBR) in place on April 1, 2002 when the post transition period begins. Until such a new mechanism is in place, only those prices which are deemed per se reasonable herein should continue to be deemed reasonable in the post transition period, absent further Commission order." D.00-06-034, pp. 43-44.

markets, subject to the limits previously established for procurement from the BFM.

On November 1, 2000, the FERC issued its Order Proposing Remedies for California Wholesale Electric Markets (Docket No. EL00-95-000, et al.). The FERC, and FERC staff, clearly found that limitations on the forward contracting ability of California's IOUs must be eliminated as demonstrated by the following statements:

"The single most important remedy that the California market needs, the {FERC} Commission said, is the elimination of rules that prevent market participants from managing risk ... Moving significant amounts of wholesale transactions, the Commission said, will reduce reliance on spot markets, thereby lessening the likelihood of price volatility; improve reliability; and increase the prospect of new generation because revenues will be more stable."^{6/}

"While the IOUs have recently been authorized by the California Commission to use either the PX's forward markets or the bilateral market, the overall restrictions on the total amount of forward purchases remain."^{7/}

"The IOUs reliance on the PX, and, in particular, the California Commission's requirement that they bid the majority (upwards of 80 percent) of their load into the PX's day-ahead and hour-ahead spot markets created substantial short-term cost exposure and price spikes of such a magnitude that market confidence became virtually non-existent."^{8/}

"The restrictions on the ability of the IOUs to enter into forward contracts have denied the IOUs the opportunity to adequately insure themselves against high energy spot prices. Also, because forward contracts can help to mitigate generation market power in energy spot markets, price spikes during the summer of 2000 have probably been larger and more frequent than they otherwise would have been if the level of forward contracting had been higher."^{9/}

^{6/} FERC News Release, "Commission Proposes to Reshape California's 'Seriously Flawed' Electricity Markets with Sweeping Changes," dated November 1, 2000.

^{7/} 93 FERC ¶ 61,121 (*mimeo*) p.25, fn. 48 (November 1, 2000).

^{8/} 93 FERC ¶ 61,121 (*mimeo*) p.25 - 26 (November 1, 2000).

^{9/} Staff Report to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on Western Markets and the Causes of the Summer 2000 Price Abnormalities, dated November 1, 2000.

On November 16, 2000, SCE filed its Rate Stabilization Application No. 00-11-038. In this application, SCE, among other things, requested the elimination of Schedule PX and the establishment of the Energy Cost Balancing Account to record energy procurement costs, including those costs associated with SCE's participation in forward markets. For the purpose of this filing, SCE proposes modifications to Schedule PX. In the event that the Commission approves A.00-10-038, SCE will make the appropriate tariff changes for the increased BFM limits to the Energy Cost Balancing Account tariff to reflect forward procurement limits proposed in this Advice Filing.

Due to load growth, the return to default service of Direct Access customers, the anticipated further divestiture of SCE's generation facilities, and the potential change in the Short-Run Avoided Cost (SRAC) pricing methodology for some or all of SCE's QF generation, SCE requests that the Commission increase the current forward purchase limits that apply to SCE, as discussed below.

SCE'S REQUEST FOR INCREASED POSITION LIMITS

SCE requests that the Commission increase the current forward purchase limits that apply to SCE. SCE proposes that its current limits be increased immediately, as specified below, to reflect the impact of load growth and the return to default service of Direct Access customers. SCE also requests increases to its forward procurement limits based on the anticipated further divestiture of SCE's generation and potential changes to the SRAC pricing methodology of some of its QF generation, but conditions the subject limit increases as described below. Finally, SCE requests increased limits to enable it to purchase capacity products to hedge the requirements of bundled customers in peak-hour periods.

Summary of SCE's Requested Increase to its Procurement Limits

Based on the positive results of forward purchases to date, the expansion of the forward products offered, and SCE's need for greater flexibility to purchase forward products to more fully mitigate energy procurement risk to its customers, as recognized by the FERC and the majority of the interested parties in the California electric markets, SCE requests that combined limits for forward energy and capacity procurement be immediately approved as follows: 4,400, 5,800, 9,600, and 6,800 MW for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarters respectively. In addition, SCE requests that the Commission approve that these limits be further adjusted by the adders described below, to reflect further divestiture and QF generation that may switch to PX-based pricing. Rather than file a separate advice letter to reflect each instance of divestiture or increment of QF switchover, and be impacted by the time frame in which such a process would entail, SCE is now requesting authorization to adjust the potential combined monthly energy and capacity limits up to 8,300, 9,700, 13,500, and 10,700 MW for the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th quarters, respectively,

upon submittal of a compliance letter to the Energy Division that the subject event has taken place, as described further below.

A summary of SCE's immediate and potential requested increases to its forward procurement limits on behalf of its bundled retail customers is provided in Table 3.

Table 3.
Summary of Proposed Monthly Forward Procurement Limits^{10/}

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	Oct – Dec (4 th Qtr)
Proposed Immediate Monthly Limit for Energy	3,100 MW	3,100 MW	6,300 MW	4,000 MW
Increment For Capacity To Hedge Peak Hours	1,300 MW	2,700 MW	<u>3,300 MW</u>	2,800 MW
Proposed Immediate Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit	4,400 MW	5,800 MW	9,600 MW	6,800 MW
Maximum Divestiture Adder ^{11/}	2,100 MW	2,100 MW	2,100 MW	2,100 MW
Maximum Adder for QF Conversion	1,800 MW	1,800 MW	1,800 MW	1,800 MW
Proposed Maximum Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit ^{12/}	8,300 MW	9,700 MW	13,500 MW	10,700 MW

^{10/} The limit for monthly products and Balance-of-the-Month and Daily block products (i.e., for intra-month products) is the proposed monthly limit in this table plus 1,000 MW.

^{11/} Assumes the divestiture applications for the Mohave, Four Corners, and Palo Verde generating stations are approved.

^{12/} Proposed Maximum Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit is equal to the sum of Proposed Immediate Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit, Maximum Divestiture Adder, and Maximum Adder for QF Conversion.

Proposed Increase to Forward Procurement Limits Related to Load Growth and the Impact of Direct Access

As discussed above, SCE's existing forward procurement limits for monthly products are based on its "net-short" position for the relevant quarter.^{13/} Given that SCE's system load has increased approximately 3.4 percent since 1999 and is projected to increase another 3.4 percent in 2001, and that SCE has had a significant amount of load that was previously Direct Access returned to default service, SCE requests an immediate revision to its monthly purchases limits as reflected in Table 4.

Table 4.
Proposed Monthly Forward Procurement Limits Due to Load Growth and the Impact of Direct Access

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	Oct – Dec (4 th Qtr)
Current Monthly Limit	2,200 MW	2,200 MW	5,200 MW	3,000 MW
Load Growth ^{14/}	525 MW	550 MW	650 MW	575 MW
Returned Direct Access Load ^{15/}	375 MW	375 MW	450 MW	400 MW
Proposed Immediate Monthly Limit for Energy ^{16/}	3,100 MW	3,100 MW	6,300 MW	4,000 MW

Proposed Increase to Forward Procurement Limits Related To Divestiture

SCE currently has pending before the Commission applications to divest its ownership shares of the Mohave Generating Station, Four Corners Generating Station, and Palo Verde Generating Station. The expected sale of these facilities

^{13/} SCE's "net-short" position is a reasonable basis to calculate SCE's forward procurement limits because it reflects the risk faced by SCE's bundled customers from procurement in the spot energy markets.

^{14/} Monthly average of the projected two-year load growth for the on-peak hours for the relevant quarter since 1999, rounded to the nearest 25 MW amount.

^{15/} Monthly average estimated Direct Access on-peak load returned to UDC default service for the relevant quarter, rounded to the nearest 25 MW amount.

^{16/} Proposed Immediate Monthly Limit for Energy is equal to the sum, rounded to the nearest 100 MW amount, of the amounts reflected for the Current Monthly Limit, Load Growth, and Returned Direct Access Load.

will increase SCE's net-short position by the amount of capacity that SCE currently retains in each generation facility. SCE requests that its forward procurement limits for monthly products be increased by the amount of capacity divested, effective on the date that the Commission approves the sale of the subject generation facility.

For example, if the Commission approves SCE's application to sell its interest in the Mohave Generating Station, SCE's monthly forward procurement limits would automatically increase by 800 MW effective upon the submittal of a compliance letter with the Energy Division that would provide notification of the approval received from the Commission to divest its subject generation facility. At that time, SCE would update its monthly forward procurement limits by the amount reflected in Table 5 below. Each of these generating facilities is baseload. Therefore, the increase in procurement limits following divestiture would occur in all quarters of the year.

Table 5.
Proposed Divestiture Adders to Monthly Forward Procurement Limits

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	<u>Oct – Dec</u> (4 th Qtr)
Mohave	800 MW	800 MW	800 MW	800 MW
Four Corners	700 MW	700 MW	700 MW	700 MW
Palo Verde	600 MW	600 MW	600 MW	600 MW
Maximum Divestiture Adder	2,100 MW	2,100 MW	2,100 MW	2,100 MW

Proposed Increase to Forward Procurement Limits Related To QF Pricing

Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 390, SCE's QF generation may convert from the current SRAC payment methodology based on gas price indices to PX-based pricing by making a one-time election. Additionally, Section 390 requires the conversion of all QFs that are currently under the SRAC payment methodology to PX-based pricing in the event certain conditions are met. When QF generation receives PX-based pricing instead of the SRAC formula price that is tied to gas indices, it will no longer operate as a hedge against PX price volatility. Because SCE does not know the timing and quantity of QF generation converting to PX-based pricing, SCE proposes to submit a compliance letter to the Energy Division that would identify each time an additional increment of approximately 500 MW of QF generation has switched to PX-based pricing. In the compliance submittal, SCE would identify the QF generation that switched, and would make a corresponding

incremental increase to SCE's then-applicable monthly forward procurement limits as a result of the switch in QF pricing terms.^{17/} Since QF generation is baseload, the increase in limits would apply in all quarters of the year.

Balance-of-the-Month and Daily Forward Procurement Limit

As noted above, SCE is currently authorized pursuant to Resolution E-3683 to procure Balance-of-the-Month and Daily forward procurement products, in combination with monthly forward procurement products, up to an amount not to exceed the current monthly limits plus 1,000 MW. The need for this limit is unchanged by SCE's request for higher monthly forward procurement limits in response to load growth, impact of Direct Access, divestiture of generation, and change in QF pricing terms, and the 1,000 MW incremental limit adder should therefore be maintained. Procurement to the higher intra-month limit would normally only occur when SCE has an outage of its generation, which would increase the net-short position that needs to be hedged.

Proposed Increase to Forward Procurement Limits for Capacity Products

Because SCE's requested monthly forward procurement limits for energy products are designed to allow SCE to only hedge up to an average monthly net-short position for each quarter, SCE's net-short position in the peak hours will not be hedged. Accordingly, SCE's customers will still be exposed to price spikes when SCE's load exceeds this average net-short position. Indeed, prices tend to be highest when SCE's load is above the average level, and therefore hedging this increase in load above the average with capacity products is essential. Therefore, SCE requests higher limits to permit contracting for capacity to hedge loads above the average level. The increase in limits in Table 6 below is based on the difference between SCE's forecast peak-hour load and SCE's proposed immediate limit for monthly energy products contained in Tables 3 and 4. The forecast peak-hour load was derived from SCE's historical peak-hour load for bundled customers for each quarter from the period March 1998 through December 1999, adjusted for an annual load growth rate of 3.4% for 2000 and 2001, and rounded to the nearest 100 MW amount.

^{17/} Approximately 1,800 MW of SCE's QF generation is potentially eligible to switch to PX-based pricing.

Table 6.
Proposed Limits for Energy Plus Capacity Products

Period	Jan – Mar (1 st Qtr)	Apr – Jun (2 nd Qtr)	Jul – Sep (3 rd Qtr)	Oct – Dec (4 th Qtr)
Proposed Immediate Monthly Limit for Energy (Tables 3 and 4)	3,100 MW	3,100 MW	6,300 MW	4,000 MW
Increment For Capacity To Hedge Peak Hours	1,300 MW	2,700 MW	3,300 MW	2,800 MW
Proposed Immediate Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit ^{18/}	4,400 MW	5,800 MW	9,600 MW	6,800 MW

The limits in Table 6 are subject to adjustment for divestiture and conversion of QFs to PX-based pricing as explained previously. That is, the Proposed Immediate Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limit (the third row in Table 6) will be automatically increased by the amount of any increase related to divestiture and/or conversion of QFs to PX-based prices upon the submittal of a compliance letter to the Energy Division. SCE's compliance filing made in response to divestiture and/or conversion of QFs to PX-based pricing will identify the automatic changes to the Combined Energy Plus Capacity Monthly Limits.

OTHER REQUIREMENTS

No cost information is required for this advice filing.

This advice filing will not increase any rate or charge, cause withdrawal of service, or conflict with any schedule or rule.

^{18/} SCE's applicable monthly forward procurement limits will still control the total open position of energy-only products that SCE may hold for the given month. However, SCE would be permitted to hold an open position of capacity with associated energy products in excess of the proposed incremental monthly capacity limit, provided that the total open position of SCE's combined energy-only and capacity with associated energy products does not exceed the sum of the applicable monthly forward procurement limit and proposed incremental monthly capacity limit.

EFFECTIVE DATE

This advice filing will become effective on the 40th calendar day after the date filed, which is January 1, 2000.

NOTICE

Anyone wishing to protest this advice filing may do so by sending a letter or facsimile which must be received no later than 20 days after the date of this advice filing. Protests should be mailed to:

IMC Program Manager
Energy Division
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 4002
San Francisco, California 94102
Facsimile: (415) 703-2200
E-mail: jjr@cpuc.ca.gov

Copies should also be mailed to the attention of the Director, Energy Division, Room 4004 (same address above).

In addition, protests and all other correspondence regarding this advice letter should also be sent by letter and transmitted via facsimile to the attention of:

Donald A. Fellows
Manager of Revenue and Tariffs
Southern California Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue, Rm. 303
Rosemead, California 91770
Facsimile: (626) 302-4829
E-Mail: fellowda@sce.com

Bruce Foster
Vice President of Regulatory Operations
Southern California Edison Company
601 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 2040
San Francisco, California 94102
Facsimile: (415) 673-1116
E-Mail: fosterbc@sce.com

There are no restrictions on who may file a protest, but the protest shall set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is based and shall be submitted expeditiously.

In accordance with Section III, Paragraph G, of General Order No. 96-A, SCE is mailing copies of this advice filing to the interested parties shown on the attached service list and R.94-04-031/I.94-04-032. Address change requests to the attached GO 96-A Service List should be directed to Emelyn Lawler at (626) 302-3985 (Emelyn.Lawler@sce.com) or John Montanye at (626) 302-2308 (John.Montanye@sce.com).

Further, in accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 491, notice to the public is hereby given by filing and keeping the advice filing open for public inspection at SCE's corporate headquarters.

Southern California Edison Company

Donald A. Fellows, Jr.

DAF:jam/eml

Enclosures

Cal. P.U.C. Sheet No.	Title of Sheet	Cancelling Cal. P.U.C. Sheet No.
Revised 27987-E	Schedule PX	Revised 27481-E
Revised 27988-E	Table of Contents	Revised 27974-E
Revised 27989-E	Table of Contents	Revised 27910-E



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sheet 1

Cal. P.U.C.
Sheet No.

TITLE PAGE 11431-E

TABLE OF CONTENTS - RATE SCHEDULES 27988-27893-27894-27895-27896-27897-E (T)

TABLE OF CONTENTS - LIST OF CONTRACTS AND DEVIATIONS 27989-E (T)

TABLE OF CONTENTS - RULES 27975-E

TABLE OF CONTENTS - BASELINE REGIONS 27252-E

TABLE OF CONTENTS - SAMPLE FORMS 27976-25429-25430-27080-27184-25429-25430-E
25381-E

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

A. Territory Served 22909-E

B. Description of Service 22909-E

C. Procedure to Obtain Service 22909-E

D. Establishment of Credit and Deposits 22909-E

E. General 22909-24193-24194-E

F. Symbols 24194-E

G. Gross Revenue Sharing Mechanism 26584-26585-26586-26587-26588-26589-26590-E
26591-26592-26593-27050-E

H. Baseline Service 11457-20329-11880-11881-11461-E

I. NOT IN USE.....

J. NOT IN USE.....

K. NOT IN USE.....

L. NOT IN USE.....

M. Income Tax Component of Contributions 16039-24573-E

N. Memorandum Accounts..... 21344-26001-27475-22358-24196-22360-26003-24800-E
21800-21801-25868-21803-22369-22370-22371-22372-22373-22374-21121-E
24956-22375-22376-21843-21243-21787-21846-21847-21848-21849-21850-21851-E
21852-21853-21854-25204-26004-22379-26005-21960-22046-22047-22546-23702-E
23703-23406-23200-23201-23202-23203-23204-23205-23206-23207-23208-23209-E
23210-23211-23212-23213-26006-24197-23216-24198-27036-24199-23220-23221-E
23222-23223-24200-23225-23226-23227-23228-24882-24244-24477-24812-22380-E
24201-24202-22621-22622-24272-26007-26096-27476-26314-26757-26996-27424-E
27425-27437-27451-27500-27424-27425-27477-E

O. California Alternative Rates for Energy (CARE) Adjustment Clause
23704-22161-21350-21351-26008-22163-E

P. Optional Pricing Adjustment Clause (OPAC) 20625-20626-24169-22165-20629-E

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)
Advice 1499-E
Decision _____
1P0

Issued by
John R. Fielder
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)
Date Filed Nov 22, 2000
Effective _____
Resolution _____



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sheet 7

(Continued)
RATE SCHEDULES
(Continued)

<u>Schedule No.</u>	<u>Title of Sheet</u>	<u>Cal. P.U.C. Sheet No.</u>
---------------------	-----------------------	------------------------------

OTHER

AD	Experimental Time-Related Demand Aggregation Service	24126-26295-E 24128-E	
BSC-IMO	Bundled Service Customer-Interval Meter Ownership	25699-25700-25701-E	
CC-DSF	Customer Choice - Discretionary Service Fees	25171-25172-25173-25174-E 25175-25176-25725-25178-25179-25180-25181-25182-E	
CTCE-IWD	Competition Transition Charge Exemptions Irrigation/Water Districts	24129-24130-E	
DA	Direct nAccess	22911-E	
DA-RCSC	Direct Access Revenue Cycle Services Credits	25153-25154-25155-25156-E 25157-25158-25159-E	
DL-NBC	Departing Load - Nonbypassable Charges	24131-24132-E	
ESP-DSF	Energy Service Provider - Discretionary Service Fees	25183-25184-25185-E 25186-25187-25726-25189-25190-25191-25192-25193-E	
ESP-NDSF	Energy Service Provider - Non Discretionary Service Fees	25139-25140	
GSN	Invest ^{SCE} Equipment Service	17880-17881-17882-17883-E	
NEM	Net Energy Metering	27907-27908-E	
PVS	Experimental Photovoltaic Service	19770-19771-E	
PVS-2	On-Grid Photovoltaic Service	19518-19519-E	
PX	Power Exchange	27987-27482-27483-27484-27485-27486-E	(T)
RF-E	Surcharge to Fund Public Utilities Commission Reimbursement Fee	11732-E	
RRB	Rate Reduction Bonds - Bill Credit and FTAC	22051-22052-E	
S	Standby	24761-24762-26297-24764-24765-E	
SE	Service Establishment Charge	19891-E	
UCLT	Utility-Controlled Load Tests	11737-E	
VPRC	Voluntary Power Reduction Credit	26738-26739-26740-26741-26742-E	

LIST OF CONTRACTS AND DEVIATIONS

LIST OF CONTRACTS AND DEVIATIONS	25126-18887-19469-25660-24944-17894-17895-E 17896-17897-17898-17899-18103-24345-19220-E
--	--

(Continued)

(To be inserted by utility)
Advice 1499-E
Decision _____
7P0

Issued by
John R. Fielder
Senior Vice President

(To be inserted by Cal. PUC)
Date Filed Nov 22, 2000
Effective _____
Resolution _____